

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,798	06/20/2003	Hagai Attias	MS302099.1 / MSFTP435US	9699
	7590 09/14/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER			SIEDLER, DOROTHY S	
1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketl@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/600,798	ATTIAS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dorothy Sarah Siedler	2626		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of

this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is lated event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of deterning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED TWIN TWO

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. L_] The Notice of Appeal was filed on	 A brief in compliance with 37 CFI 	R 41.37 must be filed wit	hin two months of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41	37(a)), or any extension thereof (3	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to avo	id dismissal of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed,			
A LATE LIDA 45 LIDA	- , ,	•	` '

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

. (1 A)	ILIADIVILIA I O
3. [The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to t he date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal, and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin ally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-19.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: ____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final acti on, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

<u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claims 1,12,14,17,19,20 and 21 have been amended to include new issues that would require further search and consideration. The new issues include the limitation that the segmental sate space model comprises states having durations in time corresponding to soft boundaries of respective phones in unobserved phone sequences..

TÄLIVALDIS INARS ŠMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER